SYNERGY PUBLISHING PTE. LTD.

Hydro Science & Marine Engineering

https://ojs.bilpublishing.com/index.php/hsme

ARTICLE

# From Ports to Platforms: Developing a Smart Maritime Ecosystem with Remote Intelligence as Core Infrastructure

**Jiaojiao Sun[1] Xinkai Mi[2] Yujie Liu[3]**

1.CSSC Heavy Industry (Qingdao) Marine Equipment Research Institute Co., Ltd.,Qingdao City, Shandong Province,266520
2.CSSC Heavy Industry (Qingdao) Marine Equipment Research Institute Co., Ltd.,Qingdao City, Shandong Province,266555
3.CSSC Heavy Industry (Qingdao) Marine Equipment Research Institute Co., Ltd.,Qingdao City, Shandong Province,266520

ARTICLE INFO

*Article history*
Received: 23 August 2024
Accepted: 29 August 2024
Published Online: 30 October 2024

*Keywords*:
Smart Ports
Remote Intelligence
Maritime Ecosystems
Platform Governance
Port Digitalization

ABSTRACT

As global maritime logistics face increasing demands for speed, sustainability, and resilience, ports are undergoing a paradigm shift from static infrastructure to intelligent, interconnected platforms. This article explores the role of Remote Intelligence (RI)—a technological convergence of IoT, AI, edge computing, and real-time data analytics—as the core infrastructure enabling the development of smart maritime ecosystems. Through a conceptual analysis and comparative case studies of leading smart ports, including Rotterdam, Singapore, and Hamburg, the study demonstrates how RI facilitates data interoperability, predictive coordination, and ecosystem-wide collaboration. The article is based on secondary data and policy documents and categorizes the following critical success factors that should be considered data governance, public-private partnerships, workforce transformation, and integration of sustainability. It wraps up by providing recommendations in terms of strategic and policy considerations to the stakeholders who are planning to digitalise the operations of ports in line with digital and green transitions across the globe.

## 1. Introduction

The long-established maritime industry is in a paradigm shift, being considered the backbone of world trade. Historically focused as the hubs of physical transportation, ports of the future are becoming digitalized platforms that manage multidimensional transportation of data and other resources and value. Greater than 80 per cent of worldwide trade is moved across the sea, and thus, the performance, stability, and elasticity of the port infrastructure

*Corresponding Author:
Yujie Liu
*Email: 591009132@qq.com*

DOI: http://doi.org/10.12345/hsme.v6i2.30402

have a direct effect on the country's economy and global logistics. The modern challenges of speed, transparency, and sustainability reveal that the former processes of port operations that relied on closed systems, labour-intensive, and paper-based operations are taking a back seat to the emerging integrated, intelligent operation system featuring automation, connectivity, and real-time decision making [1-3].

The key alteration, though, is the emergence of Remote Intelligence (RI), a set of solutions which facilitates remote monitoring, analysis, and control of the maritime infrastructure and processes. Exploiting the recent innovations in artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), edge computing, and satellite communications, RI will provide a strong framework for the continuous and geographical optimization of port activities. Modern ports can be platforms, i.e. digitally empowered spaces that facilitate interoperability, decentralization, and aligning mutually adaptable work across the entire chain of stakeholders [4].

The transition of the paradigm of seeing ports as places to ports as platforms is of a bigger magnitude than a technological change. It is a reorganisation of functions, operations, and connections into the maritime environment. The smart port is not where goods come and move, but a hub of a larger connected intelligent network of vessels, logistic companies, regulatory authorities, and digital service corporations. In this regard, RI is not only an enabler of a new form of technology but also a key infrastructure base of novel governance, economic value, and resilience of operations.

Nevertheless, despite the promise that digital transformation brings, the maritime sector is experiencing considerable difficulties in the incorporation of new approaches in ports. Legacy systems that do not operate in real-time, are not able to be coordinated and do not work together are still common in most port authorities. The existence of data silos between shipping lines, customs and port terminals impedes predictive planning and timely decision making. In addition, the dynamic explosion of digital solutions has tended to spread to inconsistency of implementation, thus limiting scalability and integration. The lack of coherent and smart infrastructure, which would be able to coordinate decentralized activities across the time zones and jurisdictions, has become one of the critical chokepoints in the process of modernization pursued by ports [5].

Therefore, in this respect, Remote Intelligence is not an optional supplement but a strategic requirement. Through the infusion of RI into the electronic composition of sanitised activities, ports can evolve beyond edge advances into systemic change. The difficulty is, however, how to convert abstract technological possibilities into real, practical models to be translatable, locally feasible, and expandable within various geographies. It requires further insight into the institutional, infrastructural, and strategic context in which innovative maritime ecosystems can or cannot be developed [6].

This research article aims to critically examine the role of Remote Intelligence as the core infrastructure in the evolution of ports into smart, digitally integrated platforms. It explores how leading global ports are leveraging RI technologies to transition from conventional operational models toward more intelligent, adaptive, and sustainable ecosystems. The central objective is to conceptualise this transformation through a combination of theoretical insights and practical case studies, without relying on primary data collection [7].

Specifically, the article addresses the following research questions: What defines a smart maritime ecosystem, and how is RI central to its functioning? How are global ports integrating RI into their operations and governance structures? What lessons can be drawn from case studies of ports such as Rotterdam, Singapore, and Hamburg? What are the strategic and policy implications for port authorities, governments, and private stakeholders? To answer these questions, the article relies exclusively on secondary sources, including academic literature, industry reports, policy documents, and publicly available case studies. The focus is on synthesising existing knowledge and identifying best practices, strategic gaps, and future directions for smart port development [8].

## 2. Theoretical Foundations and Conceptual Framework

### 2.1 Defining Remote Intelligence (RI)

Remote Intelligence (RI) refers to the capability of systems to collect, process, and respond to data from remote or distributed sources without the need for constant human intervention. RI draws upon a range of enabling technologies, including the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, edge computing, and satellite communications. These tools collectively support intelligent monitoring, decision-making, and control mechanisms that function in real-time and often autonomously.

In the maritime context, RI enables vital functions such as vessel tracking, cargo monitoring, port traffic management, remote inspection, environmental sensing, and predictive maintenance. What differentiates RI from earlier forms of digital automation is its ability to operate across decentralized networks, integrate heterogeneous data sources, and support proactive, rather than reactive, operational models. This allows ports to pre-empt disruptions, optimize throughput, and enhance safety and environmental compliance [9].

### 2.2 Characteristics of a Smart Maritime Ecosystem

A smart maritime ecosystem extends beyond the digitisation of individual ports or shipping operations. It refers to a highly integrated system that includes ports, shipping companies, logistics providers, customs and regulatory bodies, environmental agencies, and digital infrastructure firms. These stakeholders are connected through shared data platforms, standards, and governance models, all of which rely on RI as the foundational layer of intelligence.

The defining features of such an ecosystem include:

- **Data interoperability:** the seamless exchange and usage of data across organisations and systems.
- **Real-time responsiveness:** the ability to adapt quickly to changing operational conditions.
- **Collaborative intelligence:** coordinated decision-making across stakeholders based on shared insights.
- **Sustainability integration:** alignment of digital infrastructure with environmental and social goals.

In this context, RI serves as the connective tissue, enabling intelligent coordination, adaptive resource allocation, and system-wide optimisation [10,11].

### 2.3 Platform Theory in the Port Context

Everything that was mentioned above suggests a theoretical transformation of the way the ports are addressed into the physical infrastructure to the digital platforms, which may be explained by the platform theory as an approach to the platforms conceived as environments mediating interactions between various user groups with the help of the modular architecture and shared digital foundation.

Within such an environment, ports are no longer regarded as any transhipment points, but as multi-sided platforms that coordinate the relationships among shipping lines, freight forwarding organisations, port management, customs authorities, and other third-party services. With this redefinition, ports can facilitate:

- **Modular services:** the functionalities which can be offered separately (vessel scheduling, environmental monitoring, and container tracking), developed independently, and brought up to date.
- **Common APIs and data representations:** interoperability and lower integration costs.
- **Network effects:** These are the situations in which the platform value increases with the addition of customers, applications, and services.
- **Open innovation:** third-party developers and start-ups can develop new solutions based on the digital infrastructure of the port.

This strategy has been utmost demonstrated at ports such as Rotterdam port and Singapore ports that have established open data platforms and called on their technology alliance that contribute to an application and service provider. These initiatives do not only speed up innovations but also generate competitive advantage in the supply chain of international trade [12-14].

### 2.4 Combining RI and Platform Thinking to change the maritime sector

The union of the ideas of RI and platform theory present an emergent logic of operation of ports and maritime systems. RI allows real-time access to insight and control, and the platform model offers a flexible, distributed modularity to include several actors. They are utilized collectively to promote smart, adaptable and robust maritime ecosystems.

RI, in this view, is not merely an overlay of technology where everything is built on the same pattern as a technological overlay, but a deep infrastructure that allows the transformation of the world of silos and closed, data-locked collaboration to open collaboration free of data barriers and style. With this dual structure, equipped ports are in a much better position to address the challenges caused by growing volumes of cargos, stringent environmental laws and threats to cybersecurity by being much more agile, as well as proactive.

This theoretical framework builds the background of the next section of the article, where the principles are going to be researched in real practice in a row of global case studies [15].

## 3. Global Case Studies of Smart Ports and RI Integration

The abstract convictions of the Remote Intelligence (RI) and platform-based turnover of ports are more than a concept but a practical force in offering the plans and activities of the most dominant ports across the globe. The section discusses the way some of the world's ports have operationalised the RI technologies and ecosystem to become smart maritime platforms. Every case demonstrates a separate element of said transformation - be it technological innovation, structure of governance, collaboration between the public and the private sector, or integration into the environment. Based on secondary sources available in the open sources, three benchmark ports (Rotterdam, Singapore, and Hamburg) are considered based on this analysis [16].

### 3.1 Rotterdam port (Netherlands)

Europe and one of the most developed seaports in the world, the Port of Rotterdam, has turned into a world example of smart port innovation. It has made the first use of digital twins, predictive numerical, and IoT-enabling infrastructure to achieve more efficient and environmentally well-designed port activities.

The primary component of the smart strategy is quite simple Digital Twin project that is a virtual form of the physical port environment that gives real-time information about the location of the vessels, weather, cargo transfers, and terminal activity. Cocreated with IBM and other partners, the model allows remote monitoring and testing of multiple working scenarios to enable their ever-changing decision-making: e.g., strategies involving berth schedules and traffic management or hazard prediction.

Port change platform by the same port, which was originally launched under the name “Pronto,” is its stage of becoming a data-sharing hub. Port change offers the possibility to coordinate actions between the shipping lines, terminals, pilots and towage companies to enable them to work together based on a common view on port call data. This enables to perform just-in-time call, low fuel consumption and operative idle time at the berths.

The number one key to the success of Rotterdam is taking an ecosystem approach. The port authority works with technology companies, academic institutions and other logistics firms to promote innovation. The adoption of RI on both operational and strategic levels symbolises the deliberate step of turning into a platform, as opposed to being a provider of a rigid infrastructure [17].

### 3.2 Singapore port

The Port of Singapore is one of the most successful, effective, and congested ports in the world, leading port digitalization and smart maritime infrastructures or innovations globally. In Maritime and Port Authority of Singapore (MPA), one of the directions is the full automatization, application of AI, and RI technologies to all spheres of the port functioning as specified in the Next Generation Port Vision 2040.

Automated quay crane and guided vehicle systems, assisted by AI-based traffic coordination algorithms and sensor nets, are one of the most evident examples. Such systems are semi-automatic and controlled centrally through a remote operations centre that takes advantage of real-time intelligence in managing high throughput with minimal human intervention.

Remote inspection (carried out through unmanned drones and robotic crawler amongst others) is also used in Singapore to maintain infrastructure and to carry out surveillance at sea. These equipment’s reduce the operational risks and allow constant observation of inaccessible properties e.g., the seawalls, breakwaters, and the hulls of ships.

Moreover, the Maritime Single Window would combine numerous regulating maritime activity services on ships, ships, and port regulatory services and customs on the single platform, making compliance and minimizing the administrative burden. This can be backed by mission-based Maritime Digitalisation Blueprint that envisages the national approaches towards cybersecurity, skills enhancement, and international data flow.

High state authority, the principle of public-private partnership, and the country’s focus on innovation-based competitiveness are the factors that fuel the port of Singapore. In this case, RI itself is not merely a stratum of technology but rather a method of organization ingrained into the national maritime policy [18].

### 3.3 Hamburg port (Germany)

In Germany In the largest seaport of Germany, the port of Hamburg, Smart PORT is a comprehensive strategy that targets the smart port of digital infrastructure, environmental sustainability, and intelligent logistics.

An example of the steps which the IoT is undertaking includes installing sensors on port assets, which include bridges, roads, and storage facilities. Such sensors allow tracking real-time information on consumption, wear and tear and energy consumption, allowing predictive maintenance and maximizing asset usage. Based on this information, the Hamburg Port Authority (HPA) bottles up this data along with real-time traffic and weather systems to optimize traffic and logistics processes at the port.

A notable case of application of RI is found in the Port Traffic Centre Hamburg. it gathers and analyses data ship, transits, and third-party logistics providers such as the ports to orchestrate ship, truck, and cargo transfer. The center allows forward planning, congestion control and better intermodal links to rail and inland waterways.

The other pillar is environmental monitoring. Through the smart PORT Energy, the HPA is monitoring the carbon emissions, energy consumption, and noise pollution. To make Thermal Power Station Hamburg 20 times smaller by 2050, this RI-driven system compliments long-term decarbonization plans put forward by Hamburg and in line with EU directives regarding green transformation of port.

The approach of Hamburg is just the opposite of Singapore, where its centralised model is based on modular innovation and incremental integration, due to high cooperation of municipal authorities, EU agencies and private sector participants. Such decentralized implementation is flexible at the same time as being consistent with long-term strategic goals [19].

### 3.4 Comparisons

These three ports, despite their different sizes, governance structures and national backgrounds, are similar in a few aspects in their smart transformations:

The three revolve around the use of Remote Intelligence. Rotterdam has digital twins, remote inspection in Singapore, and IoT in logistics in Hamburg, and RI technologies remain employed across the board to foster the improvement of situational awareness and streamline port activities.

According to all three ports, data platforms and interoperability are stressed. Port change, Maritime Single Window, or Hamburg real-time traffic systems mean nothing but turning to platform-based operations.

- Ability to focus on collaborations leading to successful implementation within the government, technology companies and the learning institutions.
- All of the ports connect their innovative efforts to the idea of sustainability, explaining how well the lowering of the carbon footprint and environmental monitoring can also be achieved through RI.

Nevertheless, the differences are also great. Centralised governing, convergence of national policies, predicative applications and open innovation are winning strategies that are being and have been followed in Singapore, Rotterdam and Hamburg respectively [20].

### 3.5 Broader Adoption Implications

These case studies demonstrate that the development of smart ports driven by Remote Intelligence does not have a uniform program. However, all of them point to the extremely high significance of:

- Long-term planning and strategic vision.
- Scalable digital infrastructure that can be interoperable.
- Good systems of governance.
- Investment in the ecosystems of innovation and human capital.

These examples provide blueprints to other ports and maritime stakeholders that are interested in making the shift to more innovative infrastructure, as well as lessons in avenues to avoid. These implications are discussed further in the next section based on policy, governance and sustainability aspects [21].

## 4. Strategic and Policy Implications

The ability of a Remote Intelligence (RI) in maritime operations has provided a transformative potential, but the implementation of this system is influenced by a complex pattern of strategic, institutional, and policy-related issues. Based on the successful experiences of port innovation leaders, the following section serves as an outline of areas where strategic direction and policy innovation are essential to realise the full potential of RI-based maritime ecosystems. Such areas include planning governance, and infrastructure, data management and cybersecurity, workforce development, and sustainability.

### 4.1 Alignment of governance and infrastructures

A coordinated effort between various levels of government and privately available groups is necessary when transforming to a smart port. It has been proven in the successful examples of Rotterdam, Singapore, and Hamburg that the way the governance is structured, a persistent and significant role with regard to facilitating the adoption of technology and platform development. The governments should become more than regulators, but also orchestrators of an innovation ecosystem. As an example, the Maritime and Port Authority (MPA) of Singapore offers policy and funding, as well as long-range strategic road maps to ensure both the public and the private sector invest and innovate in the same direction. The Rotterdam port authority follows the same example of enhancing collaborative ventures with technology companies and research centres through innovation hubs.

To scale such models, national maritime policies must:

- Promote inter-agency coordination (between customs, transport and environmental authorities).
- Facilitate the activities of the public-private partnerships (PPPs) aimed at the modernisation of the infrastructure and the introduction of the digital world.
- Facilitate access to regional cooperation networks to establish data and system interoperability among ports.

Alignment of infrastructures is also very important. The implementation of RI will rely on a strong digital infrastructure such as high-speed network, satellite connections, sensors networks and edge computing capacities. Innovative applications that lack such foundations cannot be at all reliable in scale [22,23].

### 4.2 Data governance and cybersecurity

The new marine ecosystem is data-driven in nature. Data architectures like data security, standardization and interoperability must be ensured to effectively integrate the RI technologies. Nevertheless, data collection and exchange of operating and commercial data create major issues of governance and privacy. One of the most significant issues to consider is the fragmentation of data, and a considerable number of ports, shipping companies, and logistics providers have systems that cannot interact efficiently enough with each other. This restrains the power of predictive analytics and distance coordination. The needs of interoperability presuppose the necessity to develop unified data standards, as is being done by the International Maritime Organisation (IMO), working on data harmonization.

Also, the ports become ever more vulnerable to cybersecurity risks linked to ransomware, using spoofed GPS, and defeating programs. When RI is integrated, the attack surface grows as the number of digital points of contact increases. Thus, the policy frameworks should require:

- Port-IT-systems cybersecurity-by-design.
- Periodic risk assessment and pen testing.
- Live threat intelligence amongst the stakeholders.
- Adherence to the international maritime cybersecurity codes (e.g. IMO MSC-FAL.1/Circ 3 guidelines).

An effective data governance structure would have to strike the right balance between openness (it should be open to foster innovation and partnerships) and protection (it should be secure to safeguard strategically important infrastructure and business interests) [24].

### 4.3 Transformation of the workforce and development of skills

The maritime labour force is changing with automation, AI and remote facilities. Since RI technologies minimize the requirements of human interaction in the process of cargo decking, checkers, and traffic control, there also emerges a necessity to fill new positions linked with the administration of the system, data mining, and cyber defence.

Such transition has its risks and opportunities. Though intelligent ports may enhance safety and quality of jobs, the same can bring in the displacement of low-skilled labour particularly in the developing world where the ports are a major economic source of employment.

To responsibly handle this transition, policy interventions are important about:

- Reskilling and upskilling of the current port employees.
- Amendments in the curriculum of maritime schools and technical colleges by incorporating digital skills.
- Rewards to lifelong learning, particularly to the SMEs that will be functioning as part of the port eco-system.

It is imperative to have partnerships among stakeholders. Human capital approaches should be developed among port authorities, labour unions, training institutions, and technology providers to be co-invented to predict future job functions and reduce the possibility of skill mismatches [25-28].

### 4.4 Environmental Sustainability and Green Transitions

RI technologies have the potential to significantly advance environmental objectives by enabling more precise monitoring, better resource efficiency, and reduced emissions. Smart ports can align digital transformation with sustainable development goals (SDGs) and decarbonization mandates set by international frameworks like the IMO's Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Strategy.

Examples include:

- Emissions monitoring systems that use IoT sensors and satellite data to track pollutants in real time.
- Energy optimization tools that reduce unnecessary vessel idling and enable just-in-time arrivals.
- Remote inspection and maintenance technologies that minimize the need for physical travel and reduce energy consumption.

However, these gains require intentional design and regulation. Strategic recommendations for sustainability integration include:

- Making green digital infrastructure (e.g., energy-efficient data centres) a priority in smart port investments.
- Establishing carbon reporting requirements linked to digital systems for transparency.
- Offering tax incentives or subsidies for firms that adopt smart technologies aligned with sustainability goals.
- Encouraging ports to participate in carbon trading or offset programs, supported by verifiable digital data [29,30].

## 5. Conclusion

The maritime industry is at the cusp of a profound transformation, driven by the convergence of digital technologies, increasing global trade complexity, and heightened sustainability imperatives. This article has explored how Remote Intelligence (RI) is emerging as the core infrastructure in the development of smart maritime ecosystems, enabling ports to transition from traditional, location-bound hubs to intelligent, multi-actor platforms.

Through a conceptual analysis and comparative case studies of ports in Rotterdam, Singapore, and Hamburg, this study has demonstrated how RI technologies—such as IoT, AI, edge computing, and satellite communications—are being deployed to optimize logistics, enhance safety, enable environmental monitoring, and support real-time decision-making. These cases highlight varied but converging strategies toward platform-based port operations, where interoperability, data-driven coordination, and open innovation are critical to long-term competitiveness.

A key contribution of this study is the articulation of the smart port as a platform, not merely in technological terms, but as an organizational and governance shift. RI is not simply an add-on technology; it is the foundational layer that allows for new forms of collaboration, modularity, and ecosystem-wide value creation. The platform model provides a scalable framework for integrating diverse stakeholders—including shipping companies, customs authorities, technology firms, and regulators—into a cohesive, responsive network.

The analysis of strategic and policy implications underscores that the success of RI-enabled maritime platforms depends as much on institutional readiness, regulatory clarity, and skill development as on technological capacity. Effective data governance, robust cybersecurity measures, public-private partnerships, and sustainability integration must be seen as essential components of the transformation, not as parallel concerns.

This article is limited by its reliance on secondary data and case-based analysis, which may not capture the full operational complexity or political-economic nuances within each port ecosystem. Future research could build on this foundation by incorporating empirical data from additional ports, examining cross-border interoperability challenges, or assessing the socio-economic impacts of automation and digitalization on maritime labour markets.

Nevertheless, the findings offer practical insights for port authorities, policymakers, and maritime stakeholders seeking to modernize their infrastructure and governance in the digital era. As global supply chains grow more interconnected and vulnerable to disruption, the imperative to adopt intelligent, platform-based port systems becomes increasingly urgent. By positioning Remote Intelligence at the centre of this evolution, ports can enhance not only their operational performance but also their strategic role in shaping a more agile, sustainable, and digitally sovereign maritime future.

## References

[1] uthas K, Appleyard M. The Role of Interdependencies in Blockchain Adoption: The Case of Maritime Trade. The Journal of The British Blockchain Association. 2022 Sep 12.

[2] Jacobs W, Koster H, Hall P. The location and global network structure of maritime advanced producer services. Urban Studies. 2011 Oct;48(13):2749-69.

[3] Frémont A. Empirical evidence for integration and disintegration of maritime shipping, port and logistics activities. OECD/ITF Joint Transport Research Centre Discussion Paper; 2008.

[4] Pohontu A, Ermolai V. Artificial Intelligence in Maritime Domain Awareness Applications: Trends and Prospects. In Digital Transformation: Exploring the Impact of Digital Transformation on Organizational Processes 2024 Aug 22 (pp. 193-204). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[5] Heilig L, Schwarze S, Voß S. An analysis of digital transformation in the history and future of modern ports.

[6] Dupont, A. Intelligence for the twenty-first century. In Twenty-First Century Intelligence 2013 Sep 13 (pp. 15-39). Routledge.

[7] Zou Y, Xiao G, Li Q, Biancardo SA. Intelligent Maritime Shipping: A Bibliometric Analysis of Internet Technologies and Automated Port Infrastructure Applications. Journal of Marine Science and Engineering. 2025 May 19;13(5):979.

[8] Molavi A, Lim GJ, Race B. A framework for building a smart port and smart port index. International journal of sustainable transportation. 2020 Jul 1;14(9):686-700.

[9] Ahmad R. Smart remote sensing network for disaster management: An overview. Telecommunication Systems. 2024 Sep;87(1):213-37.

[10] Ichimura Y, Dalaklis D, Kitada M, Christodoulou A. Shipping in the era of digitalization: Mapping the future strategic plans of major maritime commercial actors. Digital Business. 2022 Mar 1;2(1):100022.

[11] Tsvetkova A, Gustafsson M, Wikström K. Digitalizing maritime transport: digital innovation as a catalyzer of sustainable transformation. InA modern guide to the digitalization of infrastructure 2021 Sep 23 (pp. 123-148). Edward Elgar Publishing.

[12] Heilig L, Lalla-Ruiz E, Voß S. Digital transformation in maritime ports: analysis and a game theoretic framework. Netnomics: Economic research and electronic networking. 2017 Dec;18(2):227-54.

[13] Dalaklis D, Christodoulou A, Ölcer AI, Ballini F,

Dalaklis A, Lagdami K. The port of gothenburg under the influence of the fourth stage of the industrial revolution: Implementing a wide portfolio of digital tools to optimize the conduct of operations. Maritime Technology and Research. 2022 Mar 3;4(3):253844-.
[14] de la Peña Zarzuelo I, Soeane MJ, Bermúdez BL. Industry 4.0 in the port and maritime industry: A literature review. Journal of Industrial Information Integration. 2020 Dec 1;20:100173.
[15] Almotairi B. Integrated logistics platform the context of the port relational exchanges and systematic integration. Chalmers Tekniska Hogskola (Sweden); 2012.
[16] Gurumurthy A, Bharthur D. Impact of digitalisation in the Ports Sector. Artif. Intell. India. 2019 Dec 1;5.
[17] Clemente D, Calheiros-Cabral T, Rosa-Santos P, Taveira-Pinto F. Blue seaports: the smart, sustainable and electrified ports of the future. Smart Cities. 2023 Jun 5;6(3).
[18] Tang H. Research on the Development and Policies of the Port of Singapore Harbor. Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences. 2024 May 10;80:275-82.
[19] Jahn C, Nellen N. Smart port concept: strategic development, best practices, perspectives of development. InArctic Maritime Logistics: The Potentials and Challenges of the Northern Sea Route 2022 Mar 10 (pp. 81-93). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
[20] Debrie J, Lavaud-Letilleul V, Parola F. Shaping port governance: the territorial trajectories of reform. Journal of Transport Geography. 2013 Feb 1;27:56-65.
[21] Yang Y, Zhong M, Yao H, Yu F, Fu X, Postolache O. Internet of things for smart ports: Technologies and challenges. IEEE Instrumentation & Measurement Magazine. 2018 Feb 5;21(1):34-43.
[22] Vedrtnam A, Wyche KP, Chauhan BV, Verma S. Prospects of Artificial Intelligence in the Environment.
[23] Campisi T, Marinello S, Costantini G, Laghi L, Mascia S, Matteucci F, Serrau D. Locally integrated partnership as a tool to implement a Smart Port Management Strategy: The case of the port of Ravenna (Italy). Ocean & Coastal Management. 2022 Jun 1;224:106179.
[24] Buck JJ, Bainbridge SJ, Burger EF, Kraberg AC, Casari M, Casey KS, Darroch L, Rio JD, Metfies K, Delory E, Fischer PF. Ocean data product integration through innovation-the next level of data interoperability. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2019 Feb 28;6:32.
[25] Li X, Yuen KF. A human-centred review on maritime autonomous surfaces ships: impacts, responses, and future directions. Transport Reviews. 2024 Jul 3;44(4):791-810.
[26] Sahoo SK, Choudhury BB, Dhal PR. Exploring the role of robotics in maritime technology: Innovations, challenges, and future prospects. Spectrum of Mechanical Engineering and Operational Research. 2024 Jul 31;1(1):159-76.
[27] Plotnikov M, Collura J. Integrating unmanned aircraft systems into state department of transportation highway bridge inspection procedures: challenges, implications, and lessons learned. Transportation research record. 2022 Feb;2676(2):529-40.
[28] Yim D, Luna R, editors. Production Urbanism: The Meta Industrial City. John Wiley & Sons; 2021 Sep 27.
[29] Huisingh D, Zhang Z, Moore JC, Qiao Q, Li Q. Recent advances in carbon emissions reduction: policies, technologies, monitoring, assessment and modeling. Journal of cleaner production. 2015 Sep 15;103:1-2.
[30] Getahun S, Kefale H, Gelaye Y. Application of precision agriculture technologies for sustainable crop production and environmental sustainability: A systematic review. The Scientific World Journal. 2024;2024(1):2126734.